Distribution of Enteroinvasive and Enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli across Space and Time in Northwestern Ecuador
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Abstract. Although Escherichia coli infections are common throughout the developing world, their prevalence patterns in space and over time are not well characterized. We used serial case control data collected from 16 communities in northwestern Ecuador between 2004 and 2010, to examine the prevalence of enteroinvasive E. coli (EIEC) and enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC). At its peak, the regional prevalence of EIEC was 8.3 infections/100 persons but this decreased to 1 infection/1,000 persons. The regional prevalence of ETEC ranged from 8 infections/1,000 persons to 3.7 infections/100 persons. The prevalence pattern of EIEC resembled that of a large epidemic whereas the prevalence of ETEC was more stable over time. Here, we provide community-based evidence for temporal shifts in the dominant E. coli pathotype from EIEC to ETEC over a multi-year time period. Furthermore, genotype analysis suggests that a given strain of EIEC and ETEC can persist in this region for long periods, up to 24 and 55 months, respectively.

INTRODUCTION

In developing regions, diarrheagenic Escherichia coli causes up to 40% of diarrhea in children under five.1 Diarrhea is the second leading cause of mortality in this age group.2 The diarrheagenic group of E. coli is made up of a number of pathotypes. Those most common, and perhaps most virulent, in developing countries include enteroinvasive E. coli (EIEC), enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC), Shigella, and enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC).2,3 Escherichia coli may be transmitted directly from person-to-person via fecal–oral contact, or indirectly, through contaminated food or water.4 These transmission modes make it likely for pathogen movement between communities that are socially or geographically connected.

Transmission of ETEC is associated with the consumption of contaminated food and water.2,5–7 Reports of person-to-person transmission of ETEC are rare.2,5,6,9 In an experiment where volunteers were infected with ETEC, investigators found no evidence of direct transmission to their close contacts.10 In contrast, there is strong evidence that EIEC is transmitted directly from person-to-person.11 Food manipulation12,13 and imported food products14,15 may also be important vehicles for EIEC. Similarly, EPEC may be spread by person-to-person contact.2 Shigella, which has a lower infectious dose than the other pathotypes (10–100 organisms), is transmitted via each of the aforementioned routes.16 Given differences in transmission pathways, we would expect these pathotypes to move between communities differently, resulting in different prevalence patterns across a geographical region and over time. For example, in a prior analysis from this region, we showed differential spatial patterns of pathogen prevalence along a remoteness gradient (based on access to roads), suggesting higher prevalence of all pathogens in villages residing along the road. The magnitude of this spatial gradient differed across pathogens; E. coli exhibited the largest gradient followed by rotavirus and Giardia.17 At that time, we did not have sufficient power to examine prevalence patterns of individual E. coli pathotypes.

The prevalence of EIEC, ETEC, EPEC, and Shigella in single locations at single time points has been well documented in the literature, but there are few studies characterizing prevalence trends in space and time (see e.g., of references18–23). The majority of these studies focus on one pathotype. Cross-sectional studies of multiple pathotypes suggest that ETEC is the predominant circulating pathotype.24–27 However, previous research from northwestern Ecuador suggests that EIEC is the dominant pathogen in this region.28 Longitudinal data would be important to confirm that these patterns hold over time. In this study, we estimate the regional- and community-specific prevalence of EIEC, ETEC, Shigella, and EPEC, with a focus on the former two pathotypes. We use data from 16 communities along three different river basins in northwestern Ecuador collected during seven sampling periods between 2004 and 2010. Using prevalence trends and genotype patterns in space and time, we aim to better understand E. coli transmission between communities in the region.

METHODS

Study area. We conducted seven 15-day case control studies in 16 communities (described in Table 1) in the Canton Eloy Alfaro in the northwestern province of Esmeraldas, Ecuador between November 2004 and December 2010. Communities were selected using block randomization based on access to roads to ensure representation of the study region and to assess the role of roads as distal determinants of health within the original study.17 Two of the communities are accessed almost exclusively by road and 13 of these communities are located on one of three river systems: the Cayapas, the Onzole, and the Santiago. These river systems drain into one single river near Borbón, the 16th community in the study, which is also the main population and commercial center in the region. Borbón has had a water distribution system since 2005, supplying piped water to the majority of its households. Here, sanitation practices are mixed and range from use of flush toilets to open defecation.29 Communities upstream of Borbón tend to use the river as their
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primary water source and are largely reliant on unimproved sanitation facilities or practice open defecation. At the beginning of the study, all roads, rivers, and communities in the region were mapped using global positioning system. In communities other than Borbón, a census of every household was conducted before each case control study. In Borbón, the census was carried out in a random sample of 200 households (2004–2007) or 400 households (2008–2010). Oral consent was obtained from all households in our study. The University of Michigan institutional review board and Universidad San Francisco de Quito bioethics committee approved all protocols.

Sample collection. During each 15-day case–control study, we visited every house daily to identify all cases of diarrhea in the community or in the random sample from Borbón. Fecal samples were collected from both cases and controls in the community. Cases were defined as having three or more loose stools in a 24-hour period and were not subject to exclusion criteria. Controls, selected from the same household and from the community, were defined as those without diarrhea in the past 6 days. No other inclusion or exclusion criteria were applied to controls. Between 2005 and 2008, one household and two community controls were randomly sampled per case. From 2009 to 2010, 10% of all noncases in the community were randomly sampled as controls. Each community was visited approximately every 9 months between November 2004 and December 2010. We refer to this period as a sampling period in the subsequent text. Sampling periods were as follows: 1 (November 2004 to July 2005); 2 (August 2005 to March 2006); 3 (May 2006 to December 2006); 4 (January 2007 to July 2007); 5 (September 2007 to March 2008); 6 (December 2008 to November 2009); and 7 (January 2010 to December 2010).

Microbiological analysis and genotyping. Samples were cultured on the following media: xilose line desoxicholate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community</th>
<th>Average sample population size</th>
<th>Average percent of the sample population younger than 5 years of age</th>
<th>Road/river basin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>Road/Santiago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>Santiago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>Santiago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>Santiago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>Santiago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>Santiago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>Cayapas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>Cayapas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>Cayapas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>Cayapas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>Onzole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>Onzole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>Onzole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borbón</td>
<td>800–2,000*</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>Road/Santiago</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Between sampling periods 1 and 5, 800 persons were followed in Borbón. During sampling period 6, this population was increased to approximately 2,000.

**FIGURE 1.** Weighted prevalence of enteroinvasive *Escherichia coli* (EIEC), enterotoxigenic *E. coli* (ETEC), *Shigella*, and enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC) across seven sampling periods in 16 communities in northwestern Ecuador.
agar, Salmonella and Shigella agar, and MacConkey agar. Escherichia coli was identified by selecting lactose-fermenting colonies and testing β-glucoronidase activity using Cromocult® Coliforms Agar (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Lactose-negative colonies were analyzed using API® 20 E (BioMérieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France). A sample of five lactose-positive E. coli and any lactose-negative E. coli or Shigella isolates were analyzed with polymerase chain reaction for the presence of pathotype-specific virulence genes (bfp for EPEC, estA and/or eltB for ETEC, and ipaH for EIEC and Shigella). Isolates identified as Shigella by the API 20 E with no corresponding ipaH gene were also included in the study. Individuals with at least one positive pathogenic E. coli isolate were considered infected. Isolates were genotyped using our validated probe hybridization array typing method with 28 gene probes on the Library on a Slide platform. Each typing probe generated a binary outcome of presence or absence of the probed gene. Isolates that matched on all 28 probing outcomes were considered to have the same genotype as described elsewhere; genotypic results using this methodology were found to be comparable with those in this study (data not shown).

Statistical analysis. To estimate the prevalence of each pathotype, we assigned inverse probability sampling weights to all diarrhea cases and controls. We assumed that all cases were identified during the 15-day visit to a community and thus, cases were assigned a weight of one. Control weights reflected a random sampling of individuals within houses (for household controls) and individuals from communities (for community controls) during the case–control visit. Using

**FIGURE 2.** Weighted prevalence of enteroinvasive Escherichia coli (EIEC), enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC), Shigella, and enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC) across seven sampling periods in Borbón, Ecuador.

**FIGURE 3.** Map of the study region in northwestern Ecuador: enteroinvasive Escherichia coli; study communities with an elevated infection prevalence are highlighted in red, all others are depicted in black.
these weights and the standard Horvitz–Thompson theory, we estimated a 15-day period prevalence of infection with each pathotype. In a previous study from the region diarrhea prevalence varied significantly across age group, however, significant differences were not found in the prevalence of EIEC, ETEC, or Shigella infection by age group. Thus, age-specific prevalence estimates of infection are not reported here.

To obtain 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for prevalence estimates, we bootstrapped our sample with replacement 1,000 times and took the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles of the weighted prevalence distribution as our lower and upper limits, respectively. On the basis of preliminary data collected from the region in 2003, we expected infection with each E. coli pathotype to be rare in most communities, such that our confidence interval would contain the value zero. Thus, prevalence was classified as elevated when the lower prevalence limit was greater than zero. Elevated prevalence was thought to reflect widespread infection in the population. Analyses were carried out using R. v 2.11.1.

RESULTS

Evidence for widespread EIEC infection in the region. A total of 3,634 fecal samples from 769 cases and 2,865 controls were collected from 16 communities in northwestern Ecuador during seven sampling periods between November 2004 and December 2010. Of the 3,624 sampled individuals with a documented birthdate, 498 (65%) cases and 351 (12%) controls were children younger than 5 years of age. Pathogenic E. coli (including Shigella) were found in 315 (9%) samples, 136 cases (18%), and 179 controls (6%). Of these, 95 (70%) cases and 32 (18%) controls were younger than 5 years of age. The estimated regional prevalence of EIEC ranged from 0.1% to 8.3% and peaked during sampling period 2 (August 2005 to March 2006, Figure 1). Between sampling periods 3 and 7 (May 2006 to December 2010), ETEC was more prevalent than EIEC, though infection with either pathotype declined. The regional prevalence of ETEC was less variable over time than EIEC, ranging from 0.8% to 3.7%. Shigella prevalence varied between 0% and 4.9%, while that of EPEC was consistently low (0–1.3%). Given that the most prevalent pathogen in the region switched from EIEC to ETEC, subsequent analyses were focused on these two pathotypes.

Evidence for widespread EIEC and ETEC infection in Borbón. In Borbón, the temporal trend of EIEC prevalence resembled the regional pattern (Figure 2). Elevated prevalence of EIEC was found in Borbón during sampling periods 1 and 2 (prevalence = 15.0% and 18.6%, respectively). By our definition (a lower prevalence limit greater than 0), prevalence of EIEC was also elevated during sampling period 3,
however, the lower prevalence limit just barely made our cutoff (prevalence = 2.6% (95% CI = 0.1–7.2%). ETEC infection in the population of Borbón was elevated in six of the seven sampling periods (prevalence range = 1.6–3.8%). In the communities surrounding Borbón, both EIEC and ETEC infections were less common.

Widespread EIEC and ETEC infection in surrounding communities. In addition to Borbón, elevated prevalence of EIEC was found in communities 1, 2, and 3 (located on the road); community 6 (Santiago river); and community 15 (Onzole river, Figure 3). EIEC was present in the population between sampling periods 1 and 4 (November 2004 to July 2007, Figure 4). Given that we observed each community for 15 days approximately every 9 months, our data are interval censored. We know the interval during which EIEC infection occurred, but not the exact date. Thus, we were not able to determine whether infection prevalence rose in Borbón before appearing in every community. The impact of interval censoring is most apparent in communities 1 and 2 where the time between finding a prevalence of zero in these communities and elevated prevalence in Borbón spans 8 months (November 2004 to July 2005). The impact is less apparent in community 15, where this period is only 3 months (April 2005 to July 2005). Interval censoring did not however, preclude us from inferring that the prevalence of EIEC infection was elevated in Borbón before community 3. Comparing community 6 with Borbón, we note that the data in Borbón are left censored such that there is uncertainty about which community experienced an elevated infection prevalence first. Elevated ETEC infection prevalence was observed in 6 communities including Borbón, located on the road, the Santiago, and Cayapas river systems (Figure 5) between sampling periods 1 and 7 (November 2004 to December 2010, Figure 6). Here, interval censoring was not an issue. We observed widespread ETEC infection in Borbón before each interval in which the same was found in communities 2, 3, 6, 11, and 12.

EIEC and ETEC genotypes. To further characterize community infection patterns, we identified all EIEC isolates from communities with elevated prevalence estimates (1, 2, 3, 6, and 15) between sampling periods 1 and 4. Of these 100 isolates, 57 were genotyped according to the presence or absence of 28 genes (Table 2). From 57 isolates, we identified 31 unique genotypes. Seven (23%) of these unique genotypes (G7, G11, G26, G43, G45, G49, and G50) were found in Borbón and in at least one other community with elevated infection prevalence at time points 1–18 months apart. Genotypes G7 and G54 were detected in Borbón 16 and 24 months apart, respectively, suggesting that EIEC strains can persist for as long as 2 years. Using the same approach, we characterized ETEC infection in communities with an elevated prevalence. Of the 90 isolates identified across the seven sampling periods, 48 (53%) were genotyped (Table 3). Twenty-six unique genotype patterns were found, of which, 6 (23%) were seen in both Borbón and another community. Isolates with the same genotype patterns, G11 and G24, were found in Borbón during the first and last sampling periods (approximately 55 months apart), suggesting that ETEC can also persist for years.

DISCUSSION

We found evidence for widespread EIEC infection across our study region in Northwestern Ecuador between November 2004 and July 2007. The source of infection may have been Borbón, the main commercial and population center of the region. Borbón geographically connects communities on three different river systems with those on the main road. Elevated prevalence of EIEC infection in Borbón preceded that in at least one of the other communities. Genotype patterns revealed indistinguishable strains circulating in Borbón and these other communities, as well as evidence of long-term persistence of EIEC strains in Borbón (on the order of years). Although limited by the number of isolates available for genotyping, our observation is likely an underestimation of the frequency of transmission in the region. During those periods in which EIEC infection was low, ETEC was the dominant pathogen in the region, and may be endemic in Borbón. Our estimates of prevalence across the region and in time may suggest that like EIEC, ETEC is transmitted from Borbón to other communities.

An earlier report from our region describes high prevalence of EIEC in 2005 and proposes that EIEC is the predominant E. coli pathotype in our region. 28 The longitudinal nature of this study has allowed us to characterize this high prevalence as a regional epidemic occurring between November 2004 and July 2007. To date, there are several studies from the United States that have also described the spatial extent and duration of EIEC epidemics. Gordillo and others 13 provide evidence for the movement of EIEC from Mexico into Houston 2 months before a large food-related outbreak. Harris and others 11 postulate that a 12-week outbreak at a Missouri school was related to a staff member’s acquisition of traveler’s diarrhea in the Bahamas. Finally,
Marier and others describe a domestic outbreak related to the consumption of imported French cheese across 14 States lasting 40 days. These studies, nevertheless, may underestimate the magnitude and length of EIEC infection in the population, as incidence of this pathogen is difficult to measure when based only on case reports. Unlike these previous studies, we include individuals with symptomatic and asymptomatic carriage of EIEC, allowing us to better capture the extent of the epidemic. On the basis of this information, our data suggest that EIEC epidemics may persist for a much longer time period than has been previously observed.

The prolonged epidemic of EIEC in our study region may have been sustained by a multistrain epidemic in Borbón between November 2004 and December 2006. Previous works have shown that densely populated geographical sites can be central to transmission of other infectious diseases. Broutin and others demonstrate that pertussis epidemics occurring over a 15-year period began in two urban centers of Senegal before spreading to 28 surrounding villages. Wallace describes tuberculosis spread from Manhattan, where incidence was high in the 1980s, to districts in the Bronx and in Brooklyn. Chevalier and others pointed to two specific epicenters (one of which includes the current study region) of the cholera pandemic that swept through Ecuador in the 1990s.

The introduction of EIEC infections into Borbón may be related to its connectivity to Colombia (40 km north) and to the rest of Ecuador (to the east), via a recently constructed primary road. This hypothesis is consistent with observations by Bharti and others, who show that regional persistence of measles and meningococcal meningitis in Niger are related to high connectivity with Nigeria and human movement via primary roads. The association between sexually transmitted diseases and human migration along national highways has also been shown. Persistence of EIEC infections in Borbón may be attributed to its higher population density compared with surrounding communities.

The spread of infection outward from Borbón may be the result of human movement between this urban center and surrounding communities. Borbón is the only site on the three river basins with market stalls, restaurants, hotels, and a hospital and is therefore, the most likely destination for services and provisions in the region. For anyone traveling into and out of the study region, passage through Borbón’s river depot and bus station is often necessary. As expected, high prevalence of EIEC infection was observed in all road communities in our sample, these being the least remote from Borbón. Alternatively, one could argue that EIEC infections may arise independently in surrounding remote communities and be transmitted to Borbón via human travel.

---

**Figure 6.** Weighted prevalence of enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli in communities of northwestern Ecuador across seven sampling periods (2004–2010).
movement or the downstream current of the river. It is theoretically possible for the pathogens to be transmitted back to the remote communities. Although less biologically plausible, future research could address this question of temporal directionality with finer temporal sampling.

In contrast to the large epidemic caused by EIEC, smaller outbreaks of ETEC were observed. These small but frequent outbreaks may be due to the repeated introduction of ETEC into Borbón by infected persons and contaminated foods or persistence in the local environment. The confluence of these small outbreaks may drive ETEC to be endemic in Borbón. ETEC appears to be endemic in other urban and developing sites of Latin America. Differences in epidemic behavior between EIEC and ETEC may be explained by infectious dose and environmental tolerance. EIEC has a lower endpoint on the infectious dose range than ETEC (10⁹–10¹⁰ organisms compared with 10³–10⁵), and thus, amplification of an epidemic through person-to-person transmission may be more likely. ETEC, on the other hand, may be able to survive longer in the environment. Through widespread consumption of untreated potable water, uncooked seafood products, and survival in the soil, environmental sources may provide a constant and low dose of ETEC to residents of Borbón. This hypothesis is supported by our observation of the same ETEC genotype in Borbón by our observation of the same ETEC genotype in Borbón 55 months apart. As with EIEC, ETEC may have amplified through person-to-person transmission or the downstream current of the river. It is theoretically possible for the pathogens to be transmitted back to the remote communities. Although less biologically plausible, future research could address this question of temporal directionality with finer temporal sampling.

In contrast to the large epidemic caused by EIEC, smaller outbreaks of ETEC were observed. These small but frequent outbreaks may be due to the repeated introduction of ETEC into Borbón by infected persons and contaminated foods or persistence in the local environment. The confluence of these small outbreaks may drive ETEC to be endemic in Borbón. ETEC appears to be endemic in other urban and developing sites of Latin America. Differences in epidemic behavior between EIEC and ETEC may be explained by infectious dose and environmental tolerance. EIEC has a lower endpoint on the infectious dose range than ETEC (10⁹–10¹⁰ organisms compared with 10³–10⁵), and thus, amplification of an epidemic through person-to-person transmission may be more likely. ETEC, on the other hand, may be able to survive longer in the environment. Through widespread consumption of untreated potable water, uncooked seafood products, and survival in the soil, environmental sources may provide a constant and low dose of ETEC to residents of Borbón. This hypothesis is supported by our observation of the same ETEC genotype in Borbón found 55 months apart. As with EIEC, ETEC may have spread from Borbón to surrounding communities.

We observed a relatively low prevalence of *Shigella* (<5%) and an even lower prevalence of EPEC (<1%) in our study region. A low prevalence of *Shigella* and EPEC infection is generally in agreement with three studies of children living in Latin America. However, we report a higher prevalence of *Shigella* compared with EPEC whereas two of these three studies reported findings to the contrary. Our higher rates of *Shigella* compared with EPEC may be due to our unique inclusion of adults in the study population. Previous research from our study region suggests that adults are more often infected with *Shigella* compared with EPEC. Furthermore, when our analysis was limited to children younger than 5 years of age, prevalence of *Shigella* was lower than that of EPEC (data not shown). It is also possible that a small and short-lived outbreak of *Shigella* took place during our study period causing a peak in prevalence over time.

Weighted prevalence estimates presented here were based on a series of 15-day case-control studies in each community carried out approximately every 9 months. Although short sampling windows may inhibit disentanglement of temporal and spatial variability, previous research in the region has shown greater variability of risk factors for all-cause diarrhea across space rather than time. In addition, while the sampling method used may not have captured small fluctuations in prevalence estimates due to factors such as seasonality, our spatial and temporal resolution did allow us to identify and characterize a regional epidemic of EIEC, which we hypothesize began in Borbón before spreading to other communities in the region. We also found that ETEC is the predominant pathogen in the region during nonepidemic periods. Although we did not capture the proportion of

---

### Table 2

**Enteroinvasive *Escherichia coli* genotypes found in communities of northwestern Ecuador (2004–2007)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 G2, G7, G34, G79, X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Genotypes in bold indicate identification in Borbón and in at least one other community with an elevated infection prevalence, G = genotype, X = an individual with missing genotype data.

---

### Table 3

**Enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* genotypes found in communities of northwestern Ecuador (2004–2010)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 G20, G24, G24</td>
<td>G5</td>
<td>G3, G14, X</td>
<td>G19, G19</td>
<td>G18, G24, G25</td>
<td>X, X</td>
<td>G7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 G4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X, X</td>
<td></td>
<td>G11</td>
<td>X, X, X</td>
<td>G31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11 G22</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X, X, X, X, X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>G17, X, X, X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Genotypes in bold indicate identification in Borbón and in at least one other community with an elevated infection prevalence, G = genotype, X = an individual with missing genotype data.
isolates positive for *E. coli* pathotypes throughout the entire study period, a separate analysis restricted to the last year of the study showed a high proportion (66%) of infected individuals with more than one positive isolate. Given that isolates were selected at random, they likely represent the most prominent colonies within the individual and therefore, finding at least one positive isolate suggests a high pathogen load. Although our analysis did not include other *E. coli* pathotypes such as enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC), atypical EPEC, and EAEC, unpublished genotype data from later sampling periods suggest that EHEC is not circulating in our study region, and though atypical EPEC and EAEC are circulating, they may be less pathogenic than the pathotypes considered here (L. Zhang, unpublished data). Other researchers have found year-to-year variation in the prevalence of one particular *E. coli* pathotype, 18–20 or have focused on various pathotypes isolated from diarrhea cases. 22 Here, we provide community-based evidence for temporal shifts in the dominant *E. coli* pathotype over a multi-year time period. Furthermore, we hypothesize differential movement of these pathotypes between a commercial center and its satellite villages.
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